## BEFORE THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

## ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

Illinois Commerce Commission ) On Its Own Motion )
vs. )
Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE  )  Case No. 02-0729 )
Reconciliation of revenues  collected under gas adjustment  charges with actual costs prudently incurred.  )
AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES J. MASSMANN
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) SS CITY OF ST. LOUIS )
I was I November 1 and 5 and delay was an high path states.
James J. Massmann, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:
1. My name is James J. Massmann. I am a Natural Gas Supply and Transportation Director at Ameren Energy Fuels and Services Company.
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct Testimony consisting of //o pages, all of which have been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-referenced docket.
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct.
James J. Massmann
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of April, 2003.
MARY HOYT Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Jefferson County My Commission Expires: April 1, 2006

## **ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION**

**DOCKET NO. 02-0729** 

**DIRECT TESTIMONY** 

OF

JAMES J. MASSMANN

**Submitted on Behalf** 

Of

**UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY** 

d/b/a AmerenUE

April 2003

## **PUBLIC VERSION**

\*\* Denotes Highly Confidential Information \*\*

1		ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
2		DOCKET NO. 02-0729
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES J. MASSMANN
4 5 6		UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	My name is James J. Massmann. My business address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue,
9		St. Louis, Missouri 63103.
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
11	A.	I am employed as a Natural Gas Supply and Transportation Director in the Natural
12		Gas Supply and Transportation Department of AmerenEnergy Fuels and Services
13		Company.
14	Q.	Please briefly describe AmerenEnergy Fuels and Services Company.
15	A.	AmerenEnergy Fuels and Services Company is an affiliate of Ameren Corporation
16		(Ameren) which was formed following the December 1997 merger of Union Electric
17		Company, now doing business as AmerenUE (AmerenUE or Company), and Central
18		Illinois Public Service Company, Inc., now doing business as AmerenCIPS.
19		AmerenEnergy Fuels and Services Company is a company which provides
20		AmerenUE, AmerenCIPS, and the other companies of the Ameren system with a
21		variety of fuel related services, including natural gas procurement services.
22	Q.	Please describe your pertinent employment history.
23	A.	I was employed by Union Electric Company in August 1982 and by Ameren
24		Corporation upon the December 1997 merger. Prior to being promoted to the
25		position of Natural Gas Supply and Transportation Director, I held several positions

26		in the Natural Gas Supply and Transportation Department, including Gas Supply
27		Executive and Gas Systems Analyst. Prior to that, I was a Resource Planning
28		Engineer in the Corporate Planning Department, an engineer in the Engineering &
29		Construction Department, and an engineer in the Nuclear Engineering Department.
30	Q.	Please describe your educational background.
31	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering in 1980 and a
32		Masters of Science degree in Engineering Management in 1986, both from the
33		University of Missouri – Rolla.
34	Q.	What are your duties and responsibilities as Natural Gas Supply and
35		Transportation Director?
36	A.	My primary responsibility is to direct the management and procurement of reliable
37		and economic gas supply, transportation and storage services for Ameren affiliates,
38		including AmerenUE's Illinois gas distribution system in Alton and its vicinity. I
39		also participate in proceedings before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
40		(FERC) involving AmerenUE's interstate pipeline suppliers and before this
41		Commission and the Missouri Public Service Commission relating to AmerenUE's
42		natural gas distribution systems. Finally, I oversee daily operations including load
43		forecasting, system balancing, storage management, nominations, and scheduling.
44	Q.	Are you familiar with the subject matter of this proceeding?
45	A.	Yes, I am. This docket is the Commission's annual reconciliation proceeding related
46		to AmerenUE's Illinois Uniform Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause (PGA). It was
47		established for the purpose of reviewing the Company's gas procurement activities
48		under its PGA for the twelve-month period ending on December 31, 2002.

- 49 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide a description of the gas procurement
- activities AmerenUE performed during the reconciliation period with respect to its
- gas utility distribution system located in the city of Alton, Illinois and its vicinity.
- 93 Q. Please describe AmerenUE's gas system in Illinois.
- 54 A. AmerenUE's gas system in Illinois serves Alton and adjacent areas, and is separate
- and distinct from the Company's Missouri gas operations. This system serves
- approximately 18,178 customers comprised of residential (65% of sales), commercial
- 57 (24% of sales) and industrial (11% of sales) customers. The Company's customer
- load requirements are highly weather sensitive with sharp variations in demand
- occurring during the peak winter season. During 2002, AmerenUE's Illinois
- distribution system was directly connected to one interstate pipeline, Mississippi
- River Transmission Corporation (MRT), which is regulated by the FERC, and to one
- 62 intrastate pipeline, Illinois Gas Transmission Company (IGT), regulated by this
- 63 Commission. There were no local gas fields in or near the Company's gas service
- area from which the Company could have reliably purchased direct gas supply.
- AmerenUE has no on-system natural gas storage, but does lease storage services from
- MRT under the terms and conditions of MRT's FERC regulated Firm Storage Service
- 67 (FSS). This storage is located in Lincoln Parish, Louisiana.
- 68 Q. Mr. Massmann, would you please describe the Company's general purchasing
- 69 policy for acquiring natural gas supplies and related services for its Illinois gas
- 70 system?

- A. AmerenUE's natural gas acquisition policy is essentially a product of its utility
  obligation to serve. As a regulated public utility, the Company is obligated to provide
  natural gas service to all present and future customers in its service area; it is required
  to meet changes in its customers' demand for gas, without regard to their cause; and
  AmerenUE is charged with providing reliable service at reasonable cost. Each gas
  purchasing decision made on behalf of the Company is directed at satisfying this
  obligation to serve in the most economic way.
- Q. Please describe the gas transportation and storage services that were available for use by AmerenUE in 2002 to supply gas to its Illinois distribution system.
- A. The Company signed Firm Transportation Service and Firm Storage Service contracts 80 with MRT on November 1, 1993 as a result of FERC Order No. 636, which required 81 82 interstate pipelines to unbundle their sales and transportation services. These original contracts were extended through October 31, 2001, and then extended again through 83 April 30, 2007. The Company also executed a firm transportation agreement with 84 IGT on December 1, 1993 and amended it to increase capacity in 1998. The contract 85 with IGT was extended for a period from December 1, 2001, through October 31, 86 2006. Firm transportation agreements with Natural Gas Pipeline Company of 87 America (NGPL), an interstate pipeline upstream of IGT, were executed on 88 December 1, 1993 and November 19, 1998. The firm transportation agreements with 89 NGPL were also extended for the period from December 1, 2001 through October 31, 90 2006. AmerenUE also executed interruptible transportation agreements with MRT on 91 December 1, 1989 and with IGT on September 17, 1990. 92

- Did the Company alter any of its gas transportation or storage agreements in 95 Q. 96 2002?
- Yes, the term of the storage contract, which was scheduled to expire May 15, 2003 97 98 was extended to May 15, 2008.
- Please provide a table that summarizes the specific pipeline services that were 99 Q. available to AmerenUE for transportation and storage during 2002 prior to and 100 101 after the renegotiations.
- 102 A. A table summarizing such services is set forth below:

Contract	Service	Description	MDCQ (MMBtu/d)
462	FTS	MRT Firm Transport	20,887/18,636 (1)
#503/#3280 (3)	FSS	MRT Firm Storage	16,656 (2)
I100010	FT	IGT Firm Transport	8,000 MMBtu/d Oct - Apr 0 MMBtu/d May - Sept
106214	FTS	NGPL Firm Transport	8,530 (4,5)
17	IT	MRT Interruptible Transport	15,000
I100010	IT	IGT Interruptible Transport	12,000

(1) Peak/Off-Peak season

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

114

(2) Storage injections/withdrawals transported to/from facilities on FT #462 and limited to capacity on FT#462.

(3) For a portion of the year, the total withdrawal capability 16.656 was made up of 14.914 MMBtu/d from FSS #503 and 1,742 MMBtu/d from FSS #3280. The contracts were combined into FSS #503 to ease operation and administration efforts.

(4) Upstream capacity delivery to IGT.

(5) Total contract includes 530 MMBtu/d of firm capacity delivered to AmerenUE Fisk/Lutesville system in Missouri. Fixed reservation charges are allocated to each distribution system by primary delivery point capacity.

- 113 Q. Please describe the specific changes to the pipeline services that were a result of the renegotiated agreements.
- 115 The firm transportation services and storage services with MRT and NGPL were Α. 116 unchanged in 2002.

117		**
118		
119		
120		
121		
122		
123		
124		
125		
126		
127		
128		
129		
130		
131		
132		
133		
134		.**
135	Q.	Please describe AmerenUE's gas supply portfolio.
136	A.	The Company's gas supply portfolio for Illinois was divided into different sources
137		based upon the Company's firm pipeline capacity on MRT and NGPL. This allowed
138		for supply diversity while retaining economies of scale

**						
_		1				:
				<del></del>	·	
			······································			
<u> </u>				-	- <del>,                                   </del>	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		<del></del>	-			
					<del> </del>	
				**		i i
		December 2002, ne				ere
		December 2002, new				rere
acquired th	rough competitiv					теге
cquired th	rough competitiv	ve bidding. **				rere
acquired th	rough competitiv	ve bidding. **				еге
cquired th	rough competitiv	ve bidding. **				rere
cquired th	rough competitiv	ve bidding. **				еге
cquired th	rough competitiv	ve bidding. **				rere
acquired th	rough competitiv	ve bidding. **				еге
acquired th	rough competitiv	ve bidding. **				теге
acquired th	rough competitiv	ve bidding. **				еге

162		The final portion of firm gas supply for both peak seasons was available from
163		the Company's FSS agreement with MRT. This storage agreement provided for the
164		firm withdrawal of AmerenUE's storage inventory into the firm transportation
165		agreement with MRT for delivery to the Company's citygate.
166	Q.	Describe the relationship between these firm gas supply sources and the
167		corresponding pipeline transportation capacity.
168	A.	The maximum daily firm pipeline capacity and gas supply volumes that were
169		available during January, February, and March 2002 are described in the table below.
170		Firm Gas Supply quantities do not reflect exclusions for fuel and loss.

**					
_	_				*
			-		
		<del></del>	_		
					<b>–</b>
. *			(1)		
•		<u></u>		<u></u>	
			<del></del>		
				·	" 
		<del></del>			
*					
					#*
•					
			<del></del>		
		-			
	<u></u>		<del></del>		
(1)(2)		·			

Page 10

					i	
	T	·	· ·	T		
					· _	
			-			
						_
			_			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,					*****
		_				
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
				:		
					<del></del>	
				. <del></del>		
				_		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u></u>		
<del></del>	<del></del>	-				

179 180	Q.	Were these gas supplies generally available throughout the year, and on peak
181		days experienced by the Company?
182	A.	Yes, the gas supplies were available during the periods indicated above with no
183		exceptions.
184	Q.	Your tables above include propane as a source of firm gas deliverability. Please
185		describe the operation of AmerenUE's propane plant during 2002.
186	A.	As an additional supply source on peak days in 2002, AmerenUE maintained a
187		propane-air blending plant with a capacity of 7,500 MMBtu per day. This plant did
188		not operate other than for tests during the reconciliation period.
189	Q.	Were other supply sources available to AmerenUE during 2002?
190		Yes. In addition to the supplies mentioned above, the Company purchased gas from
191		the short-term spot market during the off-peak period (April through October). This
192		gas was used for injection into storage and for the summer requirements of the
193		Company's Illinois distribution system.
194	Q.	How was the spot market monitored for the purchase of gas?
195	A.	AmerenEnergy Fuels and Services Company gas supply personnel maintain
196		communications with gas producers and marketers when performing their normal job
197		activities. A large volume of information regarding the spot market is derived from
198		these communications. In addition, AmerenEnergy Fuels and Services Company
199		subscribes to a number of gas industry publications such as Gas Daily, Inside FERC
200		Gas Market Report, and Natural Gas Week which provide spot market pricing
201		information and industry news on a regular basis. The New York Mercantile
202		Exchange gas futures market is also monitored on a real time basis by a satellite feed

202

signal from a futures information service provider. In addition, electronic gas trading platforms are utilized to monitor market conditions.

A.

The Company procured spot market gas by competitive bid from various suppliers on a monthly or daily basis. AmerenUE maintains a spot market supplier list containing gas suppliers qualified to bid on the spot market requirements of the Company. AmerenUE uses the spot market as a "testing" ground for new suppliers since non-performance by a gas supplier during the summer will not typically cause operational or economic harm to the Company.

Q. Mr. Massmann, how did the Company plan the utilization of its supply sources?

The supply sources were utilized by AmerenUE to meet the operational characteristics of its citygate loads and to comply with contract and/or tariff restrictions incorporated within the various pipeline and supplier agreements.

Baseload gas, typically being the lowest cost and subject to more contract restrictions, has the highest priority as to use and flowed continuously during the periods when agreements were in effect. Swing gas supply agreements were utilized to meet citygate load swings outside the range of the FSS storage withdrawals and to control the FSS storage inventory to maintain adequate storage deliverability through the month of February. FSS storage withdrawals provided the "No-Notice" service required to manage significant and unpredictable load swings. The propane plant was available to be utilized to meet peak day demand that exceeded firm pipeline deliverability. Finally, spot market purchases were utilized during the off-peak season.

225	Q.	What procedure was used to purchase firm gas supply to serve AmerenUE's	5
226		distribution system?	
227	A.	Written requests for bids for firm gas supply were sent from the Company to	
228		suppliers who were known to be reliable and capable of furnishing firm service.	For
229		the winter of 2001/2002, bids for two of the packages were each solicited from for	our
230		suppliers through a telephone bidding process. The winning bids were selected b	ased
231		on a ranking of price with further consideration given to reliability and diversity	of
232		supply.	
233	Q.	Did the Company use any hedging or price control methods during 2002?	
234	A.	**	
235			·
236			
237			
238		** These costs were	
239		significantly below the gas prices prevailing in the spot market during this period	<b>.</b> ,
240		which at times exceeded \$5.00 per MMBtu.	
241		An additional amount of gas supply was hedged using a combination of fi	ixed
242		price purchases, first of the month calls, caps and collars. **	
243			
244			
245			
246			
247			
241			

248			
249			
250			
251			
252			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
253			
254		** These pricing structures are identified in the table provided on	
255		Pages 9 and 10 of this testimony.	
256	Q.	Describe the method used to obtain propane for the Company's peak-shaving	
257		propane-air blending plant.	
258	A.	Replenishment of bulk propane supplies is only necessary after the plant is utilized	d or
259		when testing reduces the inventory level. The propane facility was tested in the year	ear
260		2001 and the propane was replenished from supplies transferred from the Compan	ıy's
261		Cape Girardeau facility in April of 2001.	
262	Q.	What steps does the Company take on peak days when the daily demand leve	ıl
263		exceeds the supply available through its pipeline sources?	
264	A.	If daily demand exceeds available gas supply, assuming there is still available	
265		pipeline capacity, the first step of utilizing "No-Notice" storage withdrawals woul	.d
266		automatically occur if the storage service was not being fully utilized. If demand	was
267		still in excess of these resources, then any remaining interstate pipeline capacity,	
268		along with swing gas supplies, would be nominated and scheduled. At this point,	all
269		available firm contracted interstate pipeline resources and storage resources would	1 be
270		maximized. AmerenUE would then investigate the availability of any additional	

capacity and or supplies. If none were available, then the curtailment of interruptible services would be required on the distribution system. In addition, transportation customers would not be allowed to withdraw from their imbalance banks on the distribution system. The final resource to be utilized would be the propane-air plant.

Q. Was it necessary to curtail interruptible customers or utilize the propane plant during 2002?

277 A. No.

285

286

287

288

A.

Q. What was the Company's peak usage day in 2002?

279 A. The peak usage day occurred on March 3, 2002 when a throughput of 19,423 MMBtu
280 was experienced on the distribution system.

Q. What sources of supply were used to meet the demand on this peak day?

282 A. The following table sets forth the supply sources that were used on March 3, 2002:

Supply Source	MMBtu (delivered)
**	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<u></u>	
<del></del>	
	**

283
284 Q. Does AmerenUE have procedures for monitoring the delivery of purchased gas?

Yes, it does. The Company measures and records gas flow volumes from MRT and IGT at points of delivery in Alton with electronic flow computer data telemetered to the Ameren Gas Operations office in Springfield, Illinois. MRT and IGT measure their respective flows with orifice meters, which are integrated on-site with flow

289		computers. AmerenUE compares its records to MRT and IGT measurements. The
290		Company periodically requests and then witnesses calibration and inspection of
291		measurement equipment by MRT and IGT personnel. Volumes of propane deliveries
292		are verified by comparing bills of lading against propane tank measurement gauges.
293	Q.	Were the Company's gas purchases during the year consistent with its
294		procurement policies?
295	A.	Yes. AmerenUE utilized the most economical mix of gas sources available under the
296		given conditions.
297	Q.	Do you believe AmerenUE's procurement of natural gas was prudent during
298		2002?
299	A.	Yes, I do.
300	Q.	Does this complete your testimony?
301	A.	Yes, it does.